IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CRIM. No.: 12-637 (GAG/SCC)

Plaintiff,

[10] Luis A. Castro-González, Defendant.

### REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PLEA OF GUILTY

#### I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On August 22, 2012, defendant Luis A. Castro-González was charged in a multicount indictment. On April 24, 2017, the defendant, assisted by the court interpreter, appeared before me and agreed to plead guilty to count one of the indictment. See United States v. Woodard, 387 F.3d 1329 (11th Cir. 2004) (holding that a magistrate judge may, with the defendant's consent, conduct a Rule 11 guilty plea hearing). Count One charges that the defendant, with others, did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, and agree to possess with the intent to distribute kilogram or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; 280 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine base ("crack"), a Schedule II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; 5 kilograms or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Scheduled

II Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance; a measurable amount of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of marijuana, a Scheduled I Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Oxycodone, a Scheduled II Controlled Substance; a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of Alprazolam, a Scheduled IV Controlled Substance, in violation of Title 21, <u>United States</u> <u>Code</u>, Sections 841(a)(1), and 846.

The defendant was advised of the purpose of the hearing and placed under oath, with instructions that his answers must be truthful or he would subject himself to possible charges of perjury or making a false statement.

#### II. CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The defendant was provided with, and signed, a form waiving his right to trial by jury.1 He confirmed that his attorney explained and translated the form, and he was further explained his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, conducted by a district judge. To this end, defendant was made to understand the differences between the functions and jurisdiction of magistrate and district judges, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That form, entitled Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty, was signed and consented to by both parties, and is made part of the record.

that, if he chose to proceed before a magistrate judge, that the magistrate would hold the hearing and prepare a report and recommendation, which would be subject to review by and the final approval of the district judge. Having heard all of this, the defendant consented to proceed before a magistrate judge.

# III. PROCEEDINGS UNDER RULE 11 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

The acceptance of guilty pleas for federal criminal violations is governed by Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, pursuant to which a guilty plea is valid only if it is knowing and voluntary. *United States v. Hernandez-Wilson*, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 2009). Accordingly, Rule 11 "ensure[s] that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" *United States v. Cotal-Crespo*, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting *McCarthy v. United States*, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). Thus, a Rule 11 proceeding must indicate (1) the absence of coercion, (2) an understanding of the charges, and (3) knowledge of the consequences of pleading guilty. *Cotal-Crespo*, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing *United States v. Allard*, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244 (1st Cir. 1991)).

## A. Competence to Enter a Guilty Plea

The defendant was questioned about his age, education, employment, history of

Crim. No. 12-637 (GAG/SCC)

Report and Recommendation

treatment for mental illness or addiction, use of medication, drugs, or alcohol, and his

understanding of the purpose of the hearing. It was confirmed that the defendant

received a copy of the indictment and fully discussed the charges with his counsel and

was satisfied with the advice and representation he received. The court inquired whether

counsel for the defendant or the government had any doubts about the defendant's

capacity to plead; neither had any doubts about defendant's competency. Upon hearing

the defendant's responses and observing his demeanor, a finding was made that the

defendant was competent to plead and was fully aware of the hearing's purpose.

В. **Maximum Penalties** 

The defendant expressed his understanding of the maximum penalties prescribed

by statute for the offense to which he was pleading guilty, namely, as to count one, a term

of imprisonment of not less than ten years and up to life, a fine not to exceed \$10,000,000

and a term of supervised release of not less than five years in addition to any term of

incarceration. However, based on the stipulated and agreed amount of narcotics

possessed by the defendant, at least 400 grams but less than 500 grams of cocaine, the

maximum penalty for the offense shall be not more than twenty years, a fine not to exceed

\$1,000,000.00 and a term of supervised release of at least three years. However, defendant

was also informed that a special monetary assessment of \$100 would also be imposed, to

be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section

3013(a). The court explained the nature of supervised release and the consequences of

violating its terms.

C. Plea Agreement

The defendant was shown documents titled Plea Agreement and Supplement to

Plea Agreement (together, "Plea Agreement"), which are part of the record, and

identified his initials and signatures. He confirmed that he had the opportunity to read

and discuss the Plea Agreement with his attorney before signing, that his attorney had

translated the document, that it represented the entirety of his understanding with the

government, that he understood its terms, and that no one had made any other or

different promises or assurances to induce him to plead guilty.

Pursuant to the Plea Agreement in this case, the Government had agreed to

recommend, or has agreed not to oppose the defendant's request, that a particular

sentence or sentencing range is appropriate or that a particular provision of the

Sentencing Guidelines or sentencing factor does not apply. See Fed. R. Crim. P.

11(c)(1)(B). The defendant was admonished, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(3)(B), that his

sentence is a matter to be decided by the presiding judge, who may disregard the

Agreement's recommendations and impose any sentence within the statutory range.

To this end, the presiding judge may accept or reject the Plea Agreement or may defer

decision until after considering the pre-sentence report. The defendant understood that

he could not later withdraw his guilty plea because the presiding judge imposes a

sentence greater than that recommended by the Plea Agreement.

The parties' sentencing calculations and recommendations appear in the Plea

Agreement and were explained in open court. The defendant confirmed that these were

the sentencing recommendations he agreed to with the government. The defendant was

made to understand that the guidelines are no longer mandatory and are thus considered

advisory, and that during sentencing, the court will consider the sentencing criteria found

at Title 18, <u>United States Code</u>, Section 3553(a).

The defendant was advised that under some circumstances, he or the government

may have the right to appeal the sentence the court imposes. The defendant was further

informed, and professed to understand, that the Plea Agreement contains a waiver of

appeal provision under which the defendant agreed to waive his right to appeal the

judgment and sentence if the court accepts the Plea Agreement and sentences him

according to its terms and conditions.

D. Waiver of Constitutional Rights

The defendant was specifically advised that he has the right to persist in a plea of

not guilty, and that if he does so persist that he has the right to a speedy and public trial

by jury, or before a judge sitting without a jury if the court and government so agree; that

at trial he would be presumed innocent and the government would have to prove his

guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; that he would have the right to assistance of counsel for

his defense, and if he could not afford an attorney the court would appoint one to

represent him; that at trial he would have the right to hear and cross-examine the

government's witnesses, the right to decline to testify unless he voluntarily elected to do

so, and the right to the issuance of subpoenas or compulsory process to compel the

attendance of witnesses to testify on his behalf. He was further advised that if he decided

not to testify or put on evidence at trial, his failure to do so could not be used against him,

and that at trial the jury must return a unanimous verdict before he could be found guilty.

The defendant expressed his understanding of these right, and his understanding

that by entering a plea of guilty there would be no trial and he would be waiving or

giving up the rights the court explained. The defendant's counsel attested that he

explained these rights to his client and believed that the defendant understood his

explanations. The defendant was also informed that parole has been abolished and that

any sentence of imprisonment must be served. Further, defendant was explained that a

pre-sentence report would be prepared and considered by the district judge at

sentencing. Defendant was admonished that his guilty plea, if accepted, may deprive him

of valuable civil rights, including the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve as juror

and to possess a firearm. The defendant expressed his understanding of these

consequences.

Ε. **Factual Basis for the Guilty Plea** 

The government presented a summary of the basis in fact for the offense charged

in count one and the evidence the government had available to establish the defendant's

guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, should the case go to trial. The defendant understood

this explanation and agreed with the government's submission as to evidence which

could have been presented at trial.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant stated that he had not been induced to plead guilty, but, rather, that

he was entering such a plea freely and voluntarily because he is in fact guilty, and that

Crim. No. 12-637 (GAG/SCC)

Report and Recommendation

no one had threatened him or offered him a thing of value in exchange for his plea. He

acknowledged that no one had made any promises in exchange for his guilty plea.

Throughout the hearing, the defendant was able to consult with his attorney.

IV. CONCLUSION

The defendant, by consent, appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal

Rules of Criminal Procedure and entered a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under Rule 11, I find that the defendant,

Luis A. Castro-González is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of

the offense charged and the maximum penalties it carries, understands that the charge is

supported by evidence and a basis in fact, has admitted to the elements of the offense,

and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with the full knowledge of the

consequences of his guilty plea. Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty

plea and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one of the indictment.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

The parties have fourteen days to file any objections to this report and

recommendation. Failure to file the same within the specified time waives the right to

appeal this report and recommendation. Henley Drilling Co. v. McGee, 36 F.3d 143,

150-51 (1st Cir. 1994); United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 24th day of April, 2017.

S/ SILVIA CARREÑO-COLL UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE